In the beginning of the Dark Ages, electrically neutral hydrogen gas filled the universe. As stars formed, they ionized the regions immediately around them, creating bubbles here and there. Eventually these bubbles merged together, and intergalactic gas became entirely ionized.

#### HI across cosmic time









- Story of hydrogen
- 21 cm mean signal
- 21 cm fluctuations
- Intensity mapping

#### AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010







#### AAVP 2010







#### Recombination: hydrogen becomes neutral







Recombination: hydrogen becomes neutral

Thermal decoupling: hydrogen cools







Recombination: hydrogen becomes neutral

#### Thermal decoupling: hydrogen cools

#### Structures form: hydrogen heated







Recombination: hydrogen becomes neutral

Thermal decoupling: hydrogen cools

Structures form: hydrogen heated

Reionization: hydrogen ionized







Recombination: hydrogen becomes neutral

Thermal decoupling: hydrogen cools

Structures form: hydrogen heated

Reionization: hydrogen ionized

only neutral hydrogen in dense clumps







AAVP 2010







AAVP 2010







AAVP 2010







AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010





Jonathan Pritchard



AAVP 2010





- CMB optical depth => midpoint of reionization z~10
- Gunn-Peterson trough => universe mostly ionized at z<6
- Lyman alpha forest => ionizing background at z<6
- High redshift galaxies => ionizing background + star formation

Reionization complete by z~6.5 Midpoint of reionization z~9-11 Reionization extended, may begin z>15

# 21 cm mean signal





# 21 cm basics



Precisely measured transition from water masers

 $\nu_{21cm} = 1,420,405,751.768 \pm 0.001 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ 

Hyperfine transition of neutral hydrogen



Spin temperature describes relative occupation of levels

$$n_1/n_0 = 3 \exp(-h\nu_{21cm}/kT_s)$$

#### Useful numbers:

 $\begin{array}{l} 200 \, \mathrm{MHz} \rightarrow z = 6 \\ 100 \, \mathrm{MHz} \rightarrow z = 13 \\ 70 \, \mathrm{MHz} \rightarrow z \approx 20 \end{array}$ 

 $t_{\text{Age}}(z=6) \approx 1 \,\text{Gyr}$  $t_{\text{Age}}(z=10) \approx 500 \,\text{Myr}$  $t_{\text{Age}}(z=20) \approx 150 \,\text{Myr}$ 

 $t_{\rm Gal}(z=8) \approx 100 \,\mathrm{Myr}$ 

#### AAVP 2010







brightness temperature

$$T_b = 27x_{\rm HI}(1+\delta_b) \left(\frac{T_S - T_\gamma}{T_S}\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{10}\right)^{1/2} \left[\frac{\partial_r v_r}{(1+z)H(z)}\right]^{-1} \,\mathrm{mK}$$

spin temperature

$$T_S^{-1} = \frac{T_{\gamma}^{-1} + x_{\alpha}T_{\alpha}^{-1} + x_cT_K^{-1}}{1 + x_{\alpha} + x_c}$$

Coupling mechanisms: Radiative transitions (CMB) Collisions Wouthysen-Field effect

#### AAVP 2010

SKA

Thursday, December 9, 2010





Resonant Lyman  $\alpha$  scattering couples ground state hyperfine levels

Coupling  $\propto$  Ly $\alpha$  flux





AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010



Wouthysen 1959 Field 1959







AAVP 2010















# What did the first galaxies look like?



Lyman alpha photons originate from stars

Population II or III? (cooling by metals or hydrogen)

Star formation rate? (feedback from radiation or heating)

Clustering properties? (host halo mass)







## Thermal history



- X-rays likely dominant heating source in the early universe
  - (Lya heating inefficient, uncertain shock contribution)
- Long X-ray mean free path allows heating far from source







## What were the first X-ray sources?





- Only weak constraints from diffuse soft X-ray background Dijkstra, Haiman, Loeb 2004
- Fiducial model extrapolates local X-ray-FIR correlation to connect X-ray emission to star formation rate
   ~I keV per baryon in stars
   Glover & Brand 2003
- Might track growth of black holes instead of star formation Zaroubi+ 2007

AAVP 2010



## 21 cm mean signal





Main processes:

- I) Collisional coupling
- 2) Lya coupling
- 3) X-ray heating
- 4) Photo-ionization

Furlanetto 2006 Pritchard & Loeb 2010

#### AAVP 2010



# **EoR** signal





#### AAVP 2010



## Uncertain high redshift sources

Properties of first galaxies are very uncertain

Frequencies below 100 MHz probe period of X-ray heating & Lya coupling

Possibility of heating from shocks or exotic physics too



#### Pritchard & Loeb 2010



EDGES

## Global 21 cm experiments



Global signal can be probed by single dipole experiments e.g. EDGES - Bowman & Rogers 2008 CoRE - Ekers+

> Bowman & Rogers 2010 today's Nature



Switch between sky and calibrated noise source





### Frequency subtraction



Look for sharp 21 cm signal against smooth foregrounds Shaver+ 1999

WITZ

$$\log T_{\rm fit} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\rm poly}} a_i \log(\nu/\nu_0)^i.$$

#### TS>>TCMB

no spin temperature dependence

Extended reionization histories closer to foregrounds

$$T_b(z) = \frac{T_{21}}{2} \left(\frac{1+z}{10}\right)^{1/2} \left[ \tanh\left(\frac{z-z_r}{\Delta z}\right) + 1 \right]$$



## Latest results from EDGES







### Reionization constraints





Bowman & Rogers 2010 today's Nature

marginalising over 12th order polynomial fit to foregrounds and instrument response

Some channels lost to RFI

 $\Delta z < 0.06$  excluded at 95% level

AAVP 2010

# 21 cm fluctuations





# **Brightness Fluctuations**





# **Brightness Fluctuations**





### Numerical simulation





AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010



#### AAVP 2010





### Amplitude and Tilt







Power spectrum flattens and drops as reionization proceeds MWA/LOFAR probably limited to amplitude and slope -> neutral fraction measurement at 10% level at several redshifts

AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010



# Hll region morphology



More massive sources dominate

 $L \propto m^{1/3}$   $L \propto m$   $L \propto m^{5/3}$   $m > 4 \times 10^{10} m_{\odot}$ 







#### Minihaloes less important



McQuinn+ 2007

HII region morphology determined primarily by: I. neutral fraction

- 2. Sources
- 3. Sinks
- 4. Thermal feedback

Precise power spectrum measurements can distinguish these different scenarios



# Evolution of power spectrum





Evolution of signal means that detecting signal at z=20 not necessarily more difficult than at z=10

Distinguish different contributions via shape and redshift evolution

z=30-50 range much harder!

Pritchard & Loeb 2008

AAVP 2010



# Evolution of power spectrum





Evolution of signal means that detecting signal at z=20 not necessarily more difficult than at z=10

Distinguish different contributions via shape and redshift evolution

z=30-50 range much harder!

Pritchard & Loeb 2008

AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010



### Evolution of the power spectrum



#### Mesinger+ 2010

More from Santos next...

#### AAVP 2010

Thursday, December 9, 2010





Can also target neutral hydrogen after reionization out to  $z\sim3$  with instruments at frequencies > 350 MHz



Measure power spectrum of galaxies by integrating 21 cm flux => big picture of distribution of galaxies without spending time on resolving details



## Intensity mapping in outline



Can also target neutral hydrogen after reionization out to  $z\sim3$  with instruments at frequencies > 350 MHz



Carilli 2010

Measure power spectrum of galaxies by integrating 21 cm flux => big picture of distribution of galaxies without spending time on resolving details

#### AAVP 2010

## Intensity mapping and dark energy





BAO first peak ~130 h<sup>-1</sup> Mpc

BAO third peak ~ 35 h<sup>-1</sup> Mpc corresponds to ~20 arcmin

Chang, Pen, Bandura & Peterson 2010



Covering large volumes allows strong constraints on dark energy and geometry

Also learn about  $\Omega_{HI}$ 

#### AAVP 2010

SK



### Intensity mapping results



#### Chang, Pen, Bandura & Peterson 2010



**Figure 2** | The cross-correlation between the DEEP2 density field and GBT H I brightness temperature. Crosses, measured cross-correlation temperature. Error bars,  $1\sigma$  bootstrap errors generated using randomized optical data. Diamonds, mean null-test values over 1,000 randomizations as described in Supplementary Information. The same bootstrap procedure performed on randomized radio data returns very similar null-test values and error bars. Solid line, a DEEP2 galaxy correlation model, which assumes a power law correlation and includes the GBT telescope beam pattern as well as velocity distortions, and uses the best-fit value of the cross-correlation amplitude.

Observations at 0.5<z<1 showing cross-correlation between radio and galaxy signal

#### AAVP 2010



# Conclusions



- Currently know very little observationally about reionization history
- Need to understand both sources and IGM => 21 cm observations with SKA-low key
- 21 cm global experiments capable of constraining broad-brush properties of signal
- 21 cm fluctuations complement the global signal and contain wealth of information
  - Lyman alpha fluctuations => star formation rate
  - Temperature fluctuations => X-ray sources
  - Neutral fraction fluctuations => topology of reionization
- For specified assumptions can begin to calculate 21 cm signal including all relevant physics
  still large uncertainties in what assumptions about first galaxies are reasonable
- Spatial and redshift information are critical to separating the different contributions to the 21 cm signal
- SKA1 and SKA2 potentially sensitive to very first galaxies at lowest frequency range
- 21 cm intensity mapping provides a powerful alternative to galaxy surveys that should be explored